



सत्यमेव जयते

आयुक्त का कार्यालय  
Office of the Commissioner  
केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय  
Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate  
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015  
GST Bhavan, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380015  
Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136  
E-Mail : [commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in](mailto:commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in)  
Website : [www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in](http://www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in)



आज़ादी का  
अमृत महोत्सव

**By SPEED POST**

DIN:- 20240264SW000000ACD6

(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/COM/STP/2227/2023/17 27-21
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्या और दिनांक / Order-In -Appeal and date	AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-218/23-24 and 05.02.2024
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री ज्ञानचंद जैन, आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)
(घ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	12.02.2024
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. CGST/WT07/HG/349/2022-23 dated 28.8.2022 passed by The Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-VII, Ahmedabad North	
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	Vimalkumar Kishorkumar Pathak B-1101, Shlok Mirabel Near Royal Homes, Satyamev Vista Road New Gota, Ahmedabad - 382481

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील-आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील अथवा पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, जैसा कि ऐसे आदेश के विरुद्ध हो सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way.

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन:-

**Revision application to Government of India:**

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली: 110001 को की जानी चाहिए :-

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :-

(क) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं 2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(3) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम होतो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-  
Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(2) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004।

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned above para.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated



(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची -1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रु 6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) एके प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा कर्तव्य की मांग (Duty Demanded)।

- (1) खंड (Section) 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (2) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (3) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि।

यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में 'अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(6) (i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute.



**ORDER-IN-APPEAL**

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Vimalkumar Kishorkumar pathak,B-1101, Shlok Mirabel, Near Royal Homes, Satyamev Vista Road, New Gota,Ahmedabad-382481 (hereinafter referred to as “the appellant”) against Order-in-Original No. CGST/WT07/HG/349/2022-23 dated 28.08.2022 (hereinafter referred to as “the impugned order”) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division-VII, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred to as “the adjudicating authority”).

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the appellant is engaged in the business activity of service provider holding PAN No. ALGPP7987E. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) for the F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17, it was noticed that the appellant has neither taken service tax registration nor paid the service tax whereas the figures are shown as “Total Value for TDS(including 194C,194la, 194lb, 194J & 194H)” as provided by the IT department. Details are as under:

Year	Total Value for TDS(including 194C,194la, 194lb, 194J & 194H)	S. tax Rate	Service tax Not paid (in Rs.)
2015-16	42,40,044/-	14.5%	6,14,806/-
2016-17	12,11,200/-	15%	1,81,680/-
Total			7,96,486/-

Accordingly, it appeared that the appellant had earned the substantial income providing the service during the above period but not paid the service tax on the same. The appellant were called upon to submit copies of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Income Tax Return, Form 26AS, for the said period. However, the appellant had not responded to the letter issued by the department.

2.1 Subsequently, the appellant were issued a Show Cause Notice No. CGST/A'bad North/Div-VII/AR-III/TPD/Un-Regd-15-16/61/20-21 dated 27.09.2020 demanding Service Tax amounting to Rs 7,96,486/- for the F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17 under provisions of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994. The SCN also proposed recovery of interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994; and imposition of penalties under Section 77(1), 77(2) and Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994.

2.2 The Show Cause Notice was adjudicated vide the impugned order by the adjudicating authority wherein the demand of Service Tax amounting to Rs. 7,96,486/- for the F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17 was confirmed under proviso to Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with Interest under Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994. Further, (i) Penalty of Rs. 7,96,486/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994 ;



(ii) Penalty of Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 77(1)(a)& 77(1)(c) of the Finance Act, 1994 and (iii) Penalty of Rs. 5,000/- was imposed on the appellant under Section 77(2) of the Finance Act, 1994.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the appellant have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:

- The appellant submitted that they came to know the whole issue at the time when the official of the service tax department visited them to recover the demand confirmed vide impugned OIO. They are engaged in construction activity for the religious trust. They denied that they have contravened any provision of the service tax. The OIO is passed without providing reasonable opportunities of personal hearing and the same is gross violation of the principal of natural justice. The adjudicating authority gave 3 date of personal hearing within the period of 5 days vide single letter which is legally not correct. They made reference of case law of Regent Overseas Pvt. Ltd Vs Union of India reported as 2017(6) G.S.T.L. 15(Guj.)
- The appellant stated that they were providing exempted services to the religious charitable trusts registered under section 12AA of the income tax Act, 1961. they have furnished the copies of registration certificates.
- The appellant submitted that they have suppressed nothing from the department and the extended period can't be invoked in their case. They made reference the case law of (i) Continental Foundation jt. Venture Vs. CCE, Chandigarh, reported in 2007(216) ELT 177(SC), (ii) M/s jaiprakash Industries Ltd. reported in 2002(146) ELT 481(SC), (iii) M/s Pahwa Chemicals Private Ltd Vs Commissioner-2005(189) E.L.T. 257(S.C.), M/s Hindustan Steel Ltd vs State of Orrisa-1978(2) E.L.T. J159(SC) and M/s Padmini Products v. Collector of C.Ex. 1989(043) ELT 0195(SC).
- They submitted that the benefit of threshold limit i.e. Rs. 10 Lakhs is also available to them but adjudicating authority didn't extended the same to them. They requested to allow their appeal.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was held on dated 03.01.2024. Shri Dhiraj patel, C.A. appeared on the behalf of the appellant. He reiterated the contents of the written submission made at the time of PH. Further he requested for two days time to submit copy of ITRs which have been received on the same day.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be





decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand of service tax against the appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17.

6. I find that in the SCN in question, the demand has been raised for the period F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17 based on the Income Tax Returns filed by the appellant. The appellant didn't responded to the letter issued by the department. Therefore the impugned SCN was issued considering the value shown against "Total Value for TDS(including 194C,194la, 194lb, 194J & 194H)" provided by the Income Tax Department. Further the adjudicating authority has decided the matter ex-parte in absence of any reply/submission.


7 Now, as the written & verbal submission by the appellant has been made before me. The appellant has furnished all the invoices, ledgers, P& L and ITRs for the relevant period. While going through the submission filed by the appellant, it is seen that the appellant was engaged in providing the construction service to the religious charitable trusts registered under section 12AA of the income tax Act,1961. In the F.Y. 2015-16 they have received Rs. 38,40,043/- against the said services out of total Receipt Rs. 42,40,043/- and the same is exempted from service tax as per entry no 13(c) of the Notification No 25/2012-St dated 20.06.2012. Rest amount Rs. 4,00,000/- is within threshold limit as their service tax turnover during the preceding F.Y. was 7,71,200/-.Hence they are not liable to pay service tax for the F.Y. 2015-16.

Further , during the F.Y. 2015-16, they have received Rs. 2,92,000/- against the services provided to the religious charitable trusts registered under section 12AA out of total receipt from services Rs. 12,11,200/- which is also exempted from service tax as per entry no 13(c) of the Notification No 25/2012-St dated 20.06.2012. Rest of the amount Rs.9,19,200/- is also within threshold limit as the taxable turnover in the preceding year was only Rs. 4 lakhs. Therefore they are not liable to pay service tax for the F.Y. 2016-17. Since the demand of Service Tax is not sustainable on merits, there does not arise any question of charging interest or imposing penalties in the case.

9. In view of above, I hold that the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming demand of Service Tax, in respect of income received by the appellant during the F.Y. 2015-16 & 2016-17, is not legal and proper and deserve to be set aside.

10. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.

11. अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है ।



The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

*G. J.*  
05.02.24  
(ज्ञानचंद जैन)

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date :

Attested

*[Signature]*

(Manish Kumar)  
Superintendent(Appeals),  
CGST, Ahmedabad



By RPAD / SPEED POST

To,

M/s. Vimalkumar Kishorkumar pathak,  
B-1101, Shlok Mirabel, Near Royal Homes,  
Satyamev Vista Road, New Gota,  
Ahmedabad-382481

Appellant

The Assistant Commissioner,  
CGST , Div-VII.  
Ahmedabad North

Respondent

Copy to :

- 1) The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2) The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North
- 3) The Assistant Commissioner, CGST , Div-VII. , Ahmedabad North
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad North

(for uploading the OIA)

~~5) Guard File~~

6) PA file



